Proteome Analysis of Leptospira interrogans Virulent Strain

Monica L. Vieira^{1,2}, Daniel C. Pimenta³, Zenaide M. de Morais⁴, Silvio A. Vasconcellos⁴ and Ana L.T.O. Nascimento^{1,2,*}

¹Centro de Biotecnologia, Instituto Butantan, Avenida Vital Brazil, 1500, 05503-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil;

²Interunidades em Biotecnologia, Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, USP, São Paulo, Brazil;

³Laboratório de Bioquímica e Biofísica, Instituto Butantan, Avenida Vital Brazil, 1500, 05503-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil;

⁴Laboratorio de Zoonoses Bacterianas do VPS, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract: Leptospirosis is a worldwide zoonotic infection of human and veterinary concern. Caused by pathogenic spirochetes of the genus *Leptospira*, the disease presents greater incidence in tropical and subtropical regions. The identification of proteins that could be involved in the bacteria host interactions may facilitate the search for immune protective antigens. We report the proteomic analysis of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Pomona virulent strain LPF cultured from kidney and liver of infected hamsters. Total protein extracts were separated by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE), 895 spots were analyzed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MS), and 286 were identified as leptospiral proteins, corresponding to 108 distinct proteins. These proteins are allocated in all the bacterial cell compartments and are distributed in every functional category. Furthermore, the previously described, known outer membrane proteins, OmpL1, LipL21, LipL31, LipL32/Hap-1, LipL41, LipL45, LipL46, LruA/LipL71, and OmpA-like protein Loa22 were all recognized. Most importantly, this research work identified 27 novel leptospiral proteins annotated as hypothetical open reading frames (ORFs). We report for the first time an array of proteins of the *Leptospira* expressed by virulent, low-passage strain. We believe that our studies, together with the genome data will enlighten our understanding of the disease.

Key Words: Leptospira interrogans, leptospirosis, proteomics.

INTRODUCTION

The spirochete Leptospira interrogans is a highly invasive pathogen and the causal agent of leptospirosis, one of the most widespread zoonosis of human and veterinary concern [1-5]. The leptospires chronically infect domestic and wild mammalian species that harbor the bacteria in their renal tubules, shedding them through the urine into the environment, contaminating soil, water, and re-infecting other animals. Humans are accidental hosts in the transmission process of leptospirosis [5, 6]. The leptospires enter the body via abrasions on the skin, or enter actively through mucosa, spreading to any tissue, but specifically colonizing the kidneys and liver. Fever, headache, anorexia, muscle pain, and constipation are the general symptoms of the disease. About 5-15% of the cases evolve more severely, presenting hemorrhages with renal and hepatic failure - a condition known as Weil's syndrome, with a mortality rate of 5-40% [4, 5]. Leptospirosis also has a great economic impact, since the disease affects the livestock inducing abortions, stillbirths, infertility, reduced milk production, and death [5]. Despite its importance, the aspects of pathogenesis, virulence, and invasion processes by which the leptospires infect the hosts and initiate tissue colonization are poorly characterized.

The genomic sequences of five strains of *Leptospira*, four pathogenic [7-9] and one non-pathogenic [10], are now available. The genomic comparison and analysis in the pathogenic species revealed many hypothetical open reading frames (ORFs) and proteins with unknown functions that might be involved in the pathogenesis and virulence of the leptospires. Although the genomic tools are important to reveal the general aspects of the organism, it does not characterize which proteins are being expressed under a certain condition. For instance, it has already been demonstrated that some leptospiral virulence factors are down-regulated after several passages in the culture medium [11-13]. Accordingly, proteomics is being used in an attempt to solve some of these questions; however, so far, only a few Leptospira proteomic or immunoproteomic studies have been published [14-18]. Furthermore, these works have focused on the identification of Triton X-114-derived membrane proteins [16, 19], affinity capture of biotinylated membrane proteins [14], outer membrane vesicles [15], or in the case of immunoproteomics, the identification of proteins that are reactive with immune mouse serum [17] or serum from chronically infected rats [20].

In this study, we report the analysis of the protein expression profile of *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona strain LPF

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Centro de Biotecnologia, Instituto Butantan, Avenida Vital Brazil, 1500, 05503-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil; Tel: (5511) 37220019; Fax: (5511) 37261505; E-mail: tabet@butantan.gov.br

cultured, low-passage, from kidney and liver of infected hamsters. The choice of the serovar was due to the well established virulence of this strain in our laboratory. We identified by 2-DE/mass spectrometry (MS) 286 leptospiral proteins, corresponding to 108 distinct proteins. We confirmed the expression of 27 novel proteins that are genome annotated as hypothetical. Additionally, we identified some uncharacterized predicted outer membrane proteins, which are a class of proteins that have been the focus of the leptospirosis research worldwide.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria

The virulence of the *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona strain LPF was maintained by iterative passages in Golden Syrian hamsters. Recently weaned hamsters were intraperitonially infected with 500 μ L of approximately 1×10^4 virulent leptospires. The animals were sacrificed after the appearance of symptoms, such as loss of weight and mobility (approximately 5 days post-infection). Kidney and liver were removed and macerated. The leptospires recovered from these organs were cultured at 28°C in semi-solid EMJH-modified medium supplemented with 10% rabbit sera, followed by sub-culture in liquid EMJH (Difco^{®-} USA) supplemented with 10% rabbit sera, until the density of approximately 10^8 cells/mL was reached.

Whole-Cell Protein Extracts

The culture was harvested by centrifugation at $12800 \times g$ at 4°C for 10 min. Pellet was washed (×5) by resuspension in 35 mL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 5 mM MgCl₂, followed by centrifugation under similar conditions described earlier. The pellet was weighed and resuspended in DeStreak Rehydration Solution (GE Healthcare, USA) at the proportion of 5 μ L/mg of the bacteria. The cells were lysed by vigorous vortexing followed by mechanical pressure through a syringe. The cellular debris was separated by centrifugation at 20800 \times g for 10 min at room temperature and the supernatant was collected. Total protein content was determined according to the Bradford method (Pierce Biotechnology, USA), following the manufacture's protocol. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used to generate a standard reference curve (0-10 µg). The protein solution was mixed at the appropriate proportions with the Bradford reagent dye, and after 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the readings were taken at 595 nm. The protein concentration from each sample was calculated based on BSA standard absorbance curve. Samples of 700 µg of protein were adjusted to 340 µL with DeStreak Rehydration Solution (GE Healthcare, USA), along with 0.8% (v/v) IPG buffer, with a pH range of 3-10 (GE Healthcare). The protein extracts were obtained in triplicate from bacteria cultured from kidney and liver of infected animals.

Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis (2-DE Gels)

First-dimension isoelectric focusing was performed using the IPGphor-System (GE Healthcare, USA), and the second dimension was conducted on the Ettan DALT*six* system (GE Healthcare). The IPG gel strips (18 cm) with a linear separation of immobilized pH ranging from 3 to 10 were rehydrated directly with the solubilized samples. The strips were covered with mineral oil to prevent dehydration and oxidation, and the process was carried out overnight at room temperature in the Immobiline Dry-Strip Reswelling Tray (GE Healthcare). The focusing protocol was 30 V for 180 Vh, 150 V for 300 Vh, 350 V for 350 Vh, 500 V for 500 Vh, 1000 V for 1000 Vh, 3000 V for 3000 Vh, and 5000 V for 65000 Vh, with a 50 μ A/strip maximum-setting at 20°C. The strips were equilibrated twice (reduced and alkylated) for 15 min in 15 mL equilibration solution (0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 6.0 M urea, 30% [v/v] glycerol, and 2% [w/v] SDS), first with the addition of 1% DTT, and finally with 2.5% iodoacetamide. After equilibration, the strips and the molecular-mass marker proteins were attached to the 12% SDS-PAGE, 1 mm thickness, using 1% agarose. The electrophoretic conditions were as follows: 5 W/gel for 30 min and 17 W/gel until the end of the running. The gels were stained for 24-48 h using Coomassie Blue R350 (PhastGel Blue R - GE Healthcare) under gentle agitation, and then were rinsed with ultrapure water (MilliQ grade). The destaining of the spots was carried out in the solution of 40% (v/v) ethanol and 10% (v/v) acetic acid. The gels were digitalized in transparency mode, and analyzed with Image Master-2D Platinum version 6.0 software (GE Healthcare, USA). The 2-DE gels were performed in triplicates for the protein extracts of leptospires cultured from kidney and liver of infected hamsters.

Mass Spectrometry

The samples were analyzed by MALDI-TOF (Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight) MS, using α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid as the matrix on an Ettan MALDI-TOF/Pro instrument (Amersham Biosciences, USA). Briefly, the gel spots were isolated and dehydrated with acetonitrile (ACN). Then, the treated spots were rehydrated with 40 ng/µL trypsin solution in 50 mM ammonium acetate, and the reaction was carried out overnight at 37°C. The tryptic peptides were extracted from the gel spots using an H₂O/ACN/TFA (1:1:0.05) solution and this procedure was repeated 3X. The peptide solutions were concentrated and desalted with ZipTip C-18 pipette microcolumns (Millipore) prior to analysis. The samples were pre-mixed with the matrix solution (1:1 [v/v]) and approximately 0.5 µL was dropped on the sample loader and let to dry over the bench. The MS was performed on the reflectron mode and internal calibration (trypsin autolysis peptide). External calibration was also carried out in case the trypsin peptides were not present or clear.

Database Searches

The MALDI-TOF obtained mass lists were analyzed by peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF) algorithms on the server MASCOT (http://www.matrixscience.com/cgi/ search_form.pl?FORMVER=2&SEARCH=PMF) for matches with known protein sequences deposited on the public or proprietary databases. The searches were performed against MSDB non redundant and *L. interrogans* serovar Copenhageni databases without any MW or pI restrictions. One missed cleavage site per peptide was allowed, and an error tolerance of ± 0.2 Da on the mass measurement was used for the search and modifications such as, carbamidomethylation of cysteines and random oxidation of methionines were

Proteome Analysis of Lesptospira interrogans

taken into account. The positively identified protein matches were considered to be those that were statistically significant by the probability-based *MOUSE* scoring of the server MASCOT (score>42), which takes into account a value of p<0.05 for the random matches.

Additional Bioinformatic Analyses

The indentified L. interrogans proteins were further analyzed by the bioinformatics tools. The web-based tool P-CLASSIFIER [21] that considers five primary localization sites of Gram-negative bacteria (cytoplasm, extracellular space, inner membrane, outer membrane, and periplasm) was used to predict the subcellular localization of the identified proteins (.http://protein.bii.a-star.edu.sg/localization/gramnegative/), taking into account the greatest probability. The LipoP server [22] (.http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/LipoP/.) was used to predict the lipoproteins and discriminate between lipoprotein signal peptides (SpII), other signal peptides (SpI), and *n*-terminal membrane helices (TMH) that might be present in the proteins. This program is specific for Gram-negative bacteria and is based on the lipobox consensus sequence. For the purpose of analysis of functional category of the identified proteins, the genomic database of L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni (http://aeg. lbi.ic.unicamp.br/world/lic/_), (_http://cmr.jcvi.org/cgi-bin/ CMR/GenomePage.cgi?org=ntli03.) and the Entrez Gene of National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=gene.) were used.

All animal studies were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculdade de Medicina Veterinaria, Universidade de São Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

RESULTS

Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis (2-DE Gels)

Protein extracts were fractioned by 2-DE gels, focalized in the pH range of 3-10 and molecular mass separated in 12% acrylamide gels. Representative Coomassie Blue R stained gels, from three independent experiments, of protein extracts of *Leptospira* cultured from kidney and liver are shown in Fig. (1), where some identified proteins are illustrated. The protein spots were observed to be mainly distributed between de pIs 5-7 and were almost absent in the basic or acid extreme regions of the strips (Fig. 1). Our data are in agreement with the published studies that have shown leptospiral-protein focalization between pIs 4 and 7 [19], including proteins of whole *Leptospira* [2].

Mass Spectrometry

All the isolated and visible major protein spots were excised from each gel and were separately processed for MS. After MALDI-TOF MS, the obtained PMF mass lists were searched and compared against the *L. interrogans* serovar



Fig. (1). Representative 2-DE gels of *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona protein samples. Whole-cell protein extracts of virulent *Leptospira* cultured from kidney (A) and liver (B) were separated by 2-DE, with pH 3-10 and molecular mass of 10-70 kDa. Protein spots were excised for identification by MALDI-TOF MS. Examples of known leptospiral proteins, including some characterized lipoproteins (**) and some novel hypothetical proteins (*) identified in this work are depicted.

Copenhageni genomic database for the identification of the respective leptospiral proteins, and the NCBI for the general protein match. From the 6 2-DE gels obtained, a total 895 spots were analyzed, resulting in positive identification of 286 spots (31.9 %) as leptospiral proteins. The 108 recognized proteins are representative of all functional categories used in genome annotation, and their respective percentages are depicted in Fig. (2). The proteins were assigned in: (a) biosynthesis of small molecules, (b) cellular processes, (c) central intermediary metabolism, (d) degradation, (e) DNA/RNA metabolism, (f) energy metabolism and carbon, (g) hypothetical, (h) membrane components, (i) other cell structure components, (j) pathogenicity, virulence, and adaptation, (k) protein metabolism, (l) regulatory functions, and (m) undefined category. The distribution in the functional categories of the 108 proteins identified in L. interrogans showed that the allocation of the proteins is similar to all categories, with the highest number of proteins being presented in the hypothetical category (Fig. 2). This data is very important, because it validates the expression of these leptospiral proteins with unknown function. A complete list with general analysis of identified proteins of L. interrogans serovar Pomona cultured from kidney and liver of infected animals is presented in Table 1 (supporting information). Sequences of matched peptides of each identified protein are shown in Table 2, supporting information.

Bioinformatics Analysis

The identification of the surface proteins is an important theme of the current leptospiral research. Owing to their location, the leptospiral surface proteins are expected to be relevant in the host-cells interactions in the context of pathogenesis and their potential ability to promote heterologous immunity. Predicted membrane proteins, according to P-CLASSIFIER program, with or without signal peptidase I or II cleavage sites, as predicted by LipoP program, are compiled in Table 1 (supporting information). The analysis of the subcellular localization of the proteins, as predicted by P-CLASSIFIER [21] revealed that the identified proteins are allocated in all the bacterial cell compartments: cytoplasm (78), periplasm (8), inner membrane (4), outer membrane (14), and extracellular (3) (Table 1, supporting information).

The 108 identified proteins in *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona were also observed in *L. interrogans* serovars Lai and Copenhageni genomes [7, 8, 23], 99 of them were conserved in both the strains of *L. borgpetersenii* serovar Hardjo and 80 were present in *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc sequenced strains [9, 10]. Protein conservation among these later strains is depicted in Table **3** (supporting information) that shows (in gray) that 8 of the hypothetical proteins validated in this work are absent in the saprophytic nonpathogenic *L. biflexa*.



Fig. (2). Functional classification of the proteins of *L. interrogans* **serovar Pomona.** Distribution of proteins identified by 2-DE/MALDI-TOF MS of virulent leptospires, cultured from kidney and liver of infected animals. Categorization was based on the genome annotation of *L. interrogans* serovar Copenhageni genome (http://aeg.lbi.ic.unicamp.br/world/lic/).

DISCUSSION

It is well known that leptospires lose their ability to infect after a long period of cultivation. This may be owing to the differential expression of essential virulence factors that could be suppressed according to the environmental conditions [5]. Recently, proteins that are down-regulated during the culture-attenuation process and up-regulated in conditions that approximate the host environment have been identified [12, 13]. Our work aimed to evaluate the global picture of proteome expression of virulent, low passage *L*. *interrogans* serovar Pomona sub-cultured from the kidney and liver of infected animals. Under these conditions we succeeded to have high cellular density and therefore sufficient amount of proteins to perform the proteomic analysis. In addition, it is well documented that one subculture preserves the virulence of *Leptospira* [5, 12, 13, 24].

A total 895 spots were analyzed, resulting in positive identification of 286 spots (31.9 %) as leptospiral proteins. The 286 spots identified by mass spectrometry corresponded to 108 different leptospiral proteins, some of them being present in multiple spots with pI and/ or molecular-mass variations. The multiplicity of the spots might be due to protein isoforms resulting from post-translational modifications or protein degradation during the 2-DE procedure, and have also been observed in other proteomic studies [14, 16, 19, 25]. The total number of proteins detected in this study by 2-DE gels coupled with MALDI-TOF MS is not high when compared with the total proteins predicted in the pathogenic strains by genome sequencing [7-9, 23]. This is probably due to the limitation of 2-DE such as, protein solubilization in aqueous media, the pH range for isoelectric focusing, the amount of protein expressed and the dynamic range of 2-DE electrophoretic separation allied to the limitation of MS method that does not always identify the proteins regardless of the noticeable amounts exhibited by protein staining. This is possibly a consequence of site-specific trypsin used in spot gel-derived for proteinase digestion [15]. Additional identification of leptospiral proteins may be improved by the use of other pH gradients for isoelectric focusing and by alternative proteinase cleavage conditions by the use of MS/MS compatible instruments.

The previously described leptospiral membrane proteins identified using Triton X-114-extract OMPs [19], biotinylated-surface proteins [14], outer membrane vesicles [15], and in outer membrane proteome of L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni [16] were recognized in this study: OmpL1 (LIC10973) [26], LipL31 (LIC11456) [2], LipL32/Hap-1 (LIC11352) [27, 28], LipL41 (LIC12966) [29], LipL45 (LIC11643) [30], LipL21 (LIC10011) [31], LruA/LipL71 (LIC11003) [32], OmpA-like protein Loa22 (LIC10191) [33]. In addition to these proteins, our work also identified LipL46, a recently characterized lipoprotein (LIC11885) [34] and, most importantly, 5 novel hypothetical SpI- and SpIIcontaining proteins (Table 1). However, the leptospiral immunoglobulin-like A (LigA) protein, detected in TX-114extracted proteins [16] was not observed in our study. Additionally, the 24-kDa LfhA/ Lsa24/LenA [35-37] and Lsa21 proteins [13] were not identified. Separation of proteins by 2-DE is limited for large and highly hydrophobic proteins that are difficult to solubilize, and that might be the case of the high molecular-mass LigA protein [11]. In spite of intense studies, majority of leptospiral membrane proteins and lipoproteins identified in the genome sequences [7-9, 23] were still assigned as hypothetical proteins of unknown function. The proteome expression of these proteins identified in virulent, low-passage Leptospira validates their existence within the bacteria, and allows some speculation of their possible role in the process of leptospiral pathogenesis. We have identified 34 hypothetical-annotated proteins, being 7 of them previously validated by Nally et al. [15] and Sakolvaree *et al.* [17] (see Table 1, supporting information). The 27 novel proteins comprise 2 SpI-containing proteins, 3 predicted SpII lipoproteins and 2 proteins containing TMH domains, as predicted by the Lipo-P program [22]. Additionally, we have identified 7 proteins related to the flagella structure and the previously characterized chaperones GroES/Hsp10, DnaK (Hsp70), GroEL, Hsp15 [14, 38-40]. As mentioned earlier, some proteins appeared in more than one spot, such as the known chaperones, DnaK (LIC10524) and GroEL (LIC11335) that were identified in 2 and 5 spots, respectively. The elongation factor Tu (LIC12875) was identified 10 times in one gel and 5 times in the other. Furthermore, the membrane lipoproteins OmpA-like (LIC10191), LipL32 (LIC11352), LipL45 (LIC11643), and LipL41 (LIC12966), the flagellins LIC11531 and LIC11890, the ATP synthase subunits A and B (LIC11241 and LIC11243), and the hypothetical proteins LIC11848, LIC12621, LIC13050 and LIC13166 were also the proteins observed in the multiple spots over the gels. In the case of LipL32, distinct molecular mass forms and pI isoforms were shown to comprise approximately 31% of the gel-separated protein spots of Triton X-114-derived OMPs [19]. We have also validated the expression of 8 proteins assigned as hypothetical by genome annotation, that are absent in the saprophytic non-pathogenic L. biflexa, a data that may implicate them in leptospiral pathogenesis.

In conclusion, we report for the first time the proteomic analysis of whole-cell, low-passage virulent strain, L. interrogans serovar Pomona sub-cultured from kidney and liver of infected hamsters. We have analyzed 895 spots and identified 286 of them as leptospiral proteins that corresponded to 108 different proteins - the highest number of proteins recognized by proteomics to date [15, 16, 18, 19]. These proteins are assigned in all functional categories and allocated in all bacterial cell compartments. We have validated the expression of 27 novel hypothetical leptospiral proteins, 5 of them containing SpI or SpII signal peptide cleavage sites and 8 that are absent in the non-pathogenic L. biflexa. We trust that, the global snapshot of proteome of L. interrogans expressed by virulent strain presented in this study would help to identify proteins of importance in pathogenesis, and hence, potential antigens for the development of vaccine against leptospirosis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by FAPESP, CNPq, and Fundação Butantan, Brazil; M.L.V. holds an MSc fellowship from FAPESP (Brazil).

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supporting information can be viewed at www.bentham.org/open/tomicroj

74 The Open Microbiology Journal, 2009, Volume 3

REFERENCES

- [1] Levett PN. Leptospirosis. Clin Microbiol Rev 2001; 14: 296-326.
- [2] Haake DA, Matsunaga J. Characterization of the leptospiral outer membrane and description of three novel leptospiral membrane proteins. Infect Immun 2002; 70: 4936-45.
- [3] Vinetz JM. Leptospirosis. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2001; 14: 527-38.
- [4] Bharti AR, Nally JE, Ricaldi JN, et al. Leptospirosis: a zoonotic
- disease of global importance. Lancet Infect Dis 2003; 3: 757-71.
 [5] Faine S, Adler B, Bolin C, Perolat P. Leptospira and Leptospirosis
- 2nd ed. MediSci, Melbourne, Australia 1999; p. 259.
 [6] Plank R, Dean D. Overview of the epidemiology, microbiology, and pathogenesis of Leptospira spp. in humans. Microbes Infect 2000; 2: 1265-76.
- [7] Ren SX, Fu G, Jiang XG, et al. Unique physiological and pathogenic features of Leptospira interrogans revealed by wholegenome sequencing. Nature 2003; 422: 888-93.
- [8] Nascimento AL, Verjovski-Almeida S, Van Sluys MA, et al. Genome features of Leptospira interrogans serovar Copenhageni. Braz J Med Biol Res 2004; 37: 459-77.
- [9] Bulach DM, Zuerner RL, Wilson P, et al. Genome reduction in Leptospira borgpetersenii reflects limited transmission potential. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103: 14560-5.
- [10] Picardeau M, Bulach DM, Bouchier C, et al. Genome sequence of the saprophyte Leptospira biflexa provides insights into the evolution of Leptospira and the pathogenesis of leptospirosis. PLoS ONE 2008; 3: e1607.
- [11] Palaniappan RU, Chang YF, Jusuf SS, et al. Cloning and molecular characterization of an immunogenic LigA protein of Leptospira interrogans. Infect Immun 2002; 70: 5924-30.
- [12] Matsunaga J, Barocchi MA, Croda J, et al. Pathogenic Leptospira species express surface-exposed proteins belonging to the bacterial immunoglobulin superfamily. Mol Microbiol 2003; 49: 929-45.
- [13] Atzingen MV, Barbosa AS, De Brito T, et al. Lsa21, a novel leptospiral protein binding adhesive matrix molecules and present during human infection. BMC Microbiol 2008; 8: 70.
- [14] Cullen PA, Xu X, Matsunaga J, et al. Surfaceome of Leptospira spp. Infect Immun 2005; 73: 4853-63.
- [15] Nally JE, Whitelegge JP, Aguilera R, Pereira MM, Blanco DR, Lovett MA. Purification and proteomic analysis of outer membrane vesicles from a clinical isolate of Leptospira interrogans serovar Copenhageni. Proteomics 2005; 5: 144-52.
- [16] Nally JE, Whitelegge JP, Bassilian S, Blanco DR, Lovett MA. Characterization of the outer membrane proteome of Leptospira interrogans expressed during acute lethal infection. Infect Immun 2007; 75: 766-73.
- [17] Sakolvaree Y, Maneewatch S, Jiemsup S, *et al.* Proteome and immunome of pathogenic Leptospira spp. revealed by 2DE and 2DE-immunoblotting with immune serum. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol 2007; 25: 53-73.
- [18] Thongboonkerd V. Proteomics in Leptospirosis research: towards molecular diagnostics and vaccine development. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2008; 8: 53-61.
- [19] Cullen PA, Cordwell SJ, Bulach DM, Haake DA, Adler B. Global analysis of outer membrane proteins from Leptospira interrogans serovar Lai. Infect Immun 2002; 70: 2311-8.
- [20] Monahan AM, Callanan JJ, Nally JE. Proteomic analysis of Leptospira interrogans shed in urine of chronically infected hosts. Infect Immun 2008; 76: 4952-8.
- [21] Wang M, Li A, Xie D, Jiang Z, Feng H, Fan Z. Improving prediction of protein subcellular localization using evolutionary information and sequence-order information. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 2005; 4: 4434-6.

Received: March 31, 2009

Revised: April 8, 2009

Accepted: April 9, 2009

© Vieira et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

- [22] Juncker AS, Willenbrock H, Von Heijne G, Brunak S, Nielsen H, Krogh A. Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gramnegative bacteria. Protein Sci 2003; 12: 1652-62.
- [23] Nascimento AL, Ko AI, Martins EA, et al. Comparative genomics of two Leptospira interrogans serovars reveals novel insights into physiology and pathogenesis. J Bacteriol 2004; 186: 2164-72.
- [24] Palaniappan RU, Chang YF, Hassan F, et al. Expression of leptospiral immunoglobulin-like protein by Leptospira interrogans and evaluation of its diagnostic potential in a kinetic ELISA. J Med Microbiol 2004; 53: 975-84.
- [25] Marques MA, Espinosa BJ, Xavier da Silveira EK, et al. Continued proteomic analysis of Mycobacterium leprae subcellular fractions. Proteomics 2004; 4: 2942-53.
- [26] Haake DA, Champion CI, Martinich C, et al. Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of the gene encoding OmpL1, a transmembrane outer membrane protein of pathogenic Leptospira spp. J Bacteriol 1993; 175: 4225-34.
- [27] Haake DA, Chao G, Zuerner RL, *et al.* The leptospiral major outer membrane protein LipL32 is a lipoprotein expressed during mammalian infection. Infect Immun 2000; 68: 2276-85.
- [28] Lee SH, Kim KA, Park YG, Seong IW, Kim MJ, Lee YJ. Identification and partial characterization of a novel hemolysin from Leptospira interrogans serovar lai. Gene 2000; 254: 19-28.
- [29] Shang ES, Summers TA, Haake DA. Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of the gene encoding LipL41, a surface-exposed lipoprotein of pathogenic Leptospira species. Infect Immun 1996; 64: 2322-30.
- [30] Matsunaga J, Young TA, Barnett JK, Barnett D, Bolin CA, Haake DA. Novel 45-kilodalton leptospiral protein that is processed to a 31-kilodalton growth-phase-regulated peripheral membrane protein. Infect Immun 2002; 70: 323-34.
- [31] Cullen PA, Haake DA, Bulach DM, Zuerner RL, Adler B. LipL21 is a novel surface-exposed lipoprotein of pathogenic Leptospira species. Infect Immun 2003; 71: 2414-21.
- [32] Verma A, Artiushin S, Matsunaga J, Haake DA, Timoney JF. LruA and LruB, novel lipoproteins of pathogenic Leptospira interrogans associated with equine recurrent uveitis. Infect Immun 2005; 73: 7259-66.
- [33] Koizumi N, Watanabe H. Identification of a novel antigen of pathogenic Leptospira spp. that reacted with convalescent mice sera. J Med Microbiol 2003; 52: 585-9.
- [34] Matsunaga J, Werneid K, Zuerner RL, Frank A, Haake DA. LipL46 is a novel surface-exposed lipoprotein expressed during leptospiral dissemination in the mammalian host. Microbiology 2006; 152: 3777-86.
- [35] Verma A, Hellwage J, Artiushin S, et al. LfhA, a novel factor Hbinding protein of Leptospira interrogans. Infect Immun 2006; 74: 2659-66.
- [36] Barbosa AS, Abreu PA, Neves FO, *et al.* A newly identified leptospiral adhesin mediates attachment to laminin. Infect Immun 2006; 74: 6356-64.
- [37] Stevenson B, Choy HA, Pinne M, et al. Leptospira interrogans endostatin-like outer membrane proteins bind host fibronectin, laminin and regulators of complement. PLoS ONE 2007; 2: e1188.
- [38] Ballard SA, Segers RP, Bleumink-Pluym N, Fyfe J, Faine S, Adler B. Molecular analysis of the hsp (groE) operon of Leptospira interrogans serovar copenhageni. Mol Microbiol 1993; 8: 739-51.
- [39] Guerreiro H, Croda J, Flannery B, et al. Leptospiral proteins recognized during the humoral immune response to leptospirosis in humans. Infect Immun 2001; 69: 4958-68.
- [40] Nally JE, Artiushin S, Timoney JF. Molecular characterization of thermoinduced immunogenic proteins Q1p42 and Hsp15 of Leptospira interrogans. Infect Immun 2001; 69: 7616-24.