1874-2858/23

1



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Network of Interaction among *Enterobacter Species* and *Klebsiella Pneumonia* Clinical Isolates and the Antibiotic Resistance Pattern at Cape Coast

Kwame Kumi Asare^{1,*}, Jennifer Mbata², Samuel Amoah³, Peter Bilatam Mayeem⁴, Felicity Bentsi-Enchill² and Yeboah Kwaku Opoku²

¹Department of Biomedical Science, School of Allied Health Sciences, College of Allied Health Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana

²Department of Biology Education, Faculty of Science Education, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana

³Laboratory Unit, University of Cape Coast Hospital, Cape Coast, Ghana

⁴Offinso College of Education, Ashanti Region, Ghana

Abstract:

Introduction:

Urgent surveillance is required to provide the needed information for the containment of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Enterobacteriaceae*. The objective was to examine the antibiotic-resistant patterns among the clinically isolated *Enterobacter species* and *Klebsiella pneumonia* from the outpatient department of the University of Cape Coast from 2014 to 2020.

Methodology:

The cultured isolates were Gram-stained for morphological and biochemical tests. Antibiotic susceptibility tests for the *K. pneumonia* and *Enterobacter spp* were done using the modified Kirby-Bauer diffusion technique. A double-disk synergy antibiotic test using cefotaxime, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and ceftazidime antibiotic test was used to identify extended-spectrum beta-lactase (ESBL) and MDR among the isolates. The network of interactions between bacteria isolates and resistant types and the pattern of interaction of *K. pneumonia* (in the resistant-10 group) and *Enterobacter spp* (resistant-12) and the various antibiotics tested was performed using Cytoscape version 3.8.2 software. The data are presented in percentage and statistical significance between MDR and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) compared with Chi-square test.

Results:

K. pneumonia formed a clustering network with other bacteria isolates around resistant type 10 (resistant-10) and *Enterobacter spp* around resistant type 12 (resistant-12). The interactions (edges) of bacteria isolates and resistant types (nodes) were unique for *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* with *Proteus mirabilis* which exhibited two patterns of interactions (resistant-10 and resistant-12), connecting the two resistant types among the bacteria isolates. The interactive network patterns of *Enterobacter spp* and the various antibiotics were different from the interaction patterns for *K. pneumonia*. The *K. pneumonia* isolates were highly resistant to cefuroxime 2/3 (66.67%) and ampicillin 2/3 (66.67%) in the year 2020. *Enterobacter spp* on the other hand were highly resistant to tetracycline 16/20 (80.00%) in 2014 and ceftriaxone 5/9 (55.56%), levofloxacin 5/9 (55.56%), ceftazidime 5/9 (55.56%) and gentamycin 5/9 (55.56%) in 2020. Chi-square analysis showed a highly significant difference of $\chi 2 = 11.87$, p=0.0006 and $\chi 2 = 7.789$, p=0.0053 between MDR and XDR *Enterobacter spp* isolates in 2014 and 2020, respectively.

Conclusion:

Early detection, constant monitoring and control practices and policies that prevent the misuse or overuse of these antibiotics are required to slow down the rapid development of resistance to Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia in Cape Coast.

Keywords: *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Enterobacter species*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, Multiple drug resistance, Extended drug resistance, Extended-spectrum β -lactamases, Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*.

Article History	Received: May 17, 2022	Revised: October 17, 2022	Accepted: November 2, 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of multiple antibiotic-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* is a global threat to health and security [1, 2]. The increased resistance of *Enterobacteriaceae* to fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, carbapenems, monobactam, cephalosporins and extended-spectrum penicillins limits the current antibiotic treatment options [3, 4]. The *Enterobacter species* and *Klebsiella pneumonia* are the most frequently reported pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae cases in community-acquired and nosocomial infections [5 - 7]. These pathogens cause a wide range of human infections including uropathogenic complications [8, 9].

Extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) *Enterobacter spp* and *K. pneumonia* infections have become a serious clinical issue in many global communities including Ghana [10 - 14]. The high morbidity and mortality associated with pathogenic bacteria infections have been linked to the extensive emergence of antibiotic resistance [15, 16]. This trend is, however, alarming as the resistance mechanisms have been associated with AmpC, blaCTX-M, blaTEM and blaSHV genes carried by the pathogens [17, 18]. Most of these mediate resistance through plasmid transfer between pathogens [19]. Thus, antibiotic-sensitive pathogens can acquire resistance by obtaining resistance-encoded genes without antibiotic exposure [20]. The extensive antibiotic resistance among clinically isolated *Enterobacter spp* and *K. pneumonia* complicates the choice of appropriate antibiotics for treatment [21 - 23].

Antibiotic resistance (AMR) has been predicted to be the greatest problem facing low and middle-income countries [24 -27]. However, antibiotic stewardship and appropriate policy direction on antibiotic usage to prevent complete antibiotic failure is hindered by limited data on the aetiology and susceptibility patterns of clinical isolates from these countries [24, 26]. The spreading of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) threatens the treatment of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Enterobacteriaceae with the latest carbapenems such as meropenem, imipenem, and ertapenem [28 - 30]. Currently, there is no new antimicrobial agent to forestall the unsatisfactory management of CRE [31, 32]. This requires urgent surveillance in low- and middle-income countries to provide the needed data for the containment of AMR [25, 27].

A recent study on ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Ghana reported a 49.3% prevalence with 17% being resistant to two or more aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, sulfonamide, and carbapenems antibiotics and 3.2% non-ESBL producers [33]. A similar study in other parts of Ghana had also reported 25.9% of blaTEM, 28.8% blaSHV, 26.6% blaCTX-M, 2.16% blaOXA-48 and 0.72% blaNDM among Klebsiella spp with ESBL, AmpC β-lactamase (AmpC), and carbapenemase resistance [34]. Little is known about the Enterobacter spp isolated from clinical samples and their antibiotic resistance mechanisms [35]. Currently, there is no study in Ghana that has analyzed the annual trends and prevalence of antibiotic resistance among clinical isolates of Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia infections. The objective, was to examine the antibiotic-resistant patterns among clinically isolated Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia from the outpatient department of the University of Cape Coast hospital from 2014 to 2020.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Area

K. pneumoniae and *Enterobacter spp* isolate from 2014 to 2020 at the University of Cape Coast hospital in the Central region of Ghana were evaluated for their antibiotic resistance patterns. The University hospital provides health services to students, University staff and the surrounding communities. The hospital is about 160 meters from the shores of the Gulf of Guinea and is located close to the main entrance of the University campus.

2.2. Bacteria Isolation and Identification of Pathogens

Patients referred to the Microbiology Laboratory for diagnosis and isolation of bacterial infection had their samples cultured using Blood agar (Sigma-Aldrich) and MacConkey agar (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated at 37°C overnight. The morphological characteristics of the bacteria isolates were performed using macroscopic and microscopic Gram staining examinations. Biochemical identification of bacteria isolates was performed by culturing the isolates on Endo agar (Sigma-Aldrich), MacConkey broth (Sigma-Aldrich), and Simmons citrate agar (Sigma-Aldrich) selective culture media. The isolates were characterized using catalase, coagulase, oxidase, sugar fermentation, indole, citrate utilization, urease production, and motility tests with *E. coli* O157 as a control.

2.3. Antibiotic Susceptibility Test (AST)

Antibiotic susceptibility test (AST) was performed using Mueller-Hinton agar antibiotic diffusion technique (Kirby-Bauer NCCLS modified disc diffusion technique) as previously described [36]. The pure bacteria colonies were emulsified in normal saline and compared with 0.5 McFarland solution. Ampicillin, Gentamicin, Cotrimoxazole, Cefuroxime, amoxicillin/clavulanic Erythromycin, Amikacin, acid. cefuroxime, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, imipenem, Cefixime, Cefotaxime, Penicillin, Cloxacillin, ertapenem, meropenem, tetracycline, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, aztreonam, piperacillin/tazobactam, fosfomycin, and colistin antibiotic susceptibilities were tested.

2.4. Detection and Confirmation of Extended-spectrum Beta-lactamase (ESBL)

ESBLs resistance *K. pneumoniae* and *Enterobacter spp* isolates were diagnosed using the double-disk synergy antibiotic susceptibility test of Cefotaxime (CTX), Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid (AMC) and Ceftazidime (CAZ) antibiotic discs. The isolates were cultured on a Mueller Hinton agar plate with appropriate antimicrobial-impregnated disks at 35°C overnight. The inhibition zones were measured from the circumference to the distinct edges from the centre of inhibitions using a rule. The level of ESBL resistance by *K. pneumoniae* and *Enterobacter spp* were calculated using the formula (inhibition zone values * 2). The *E. coli* ATCC 25922 strains were used as a control.

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Biomedical Science, School of Allied Health Sciences, College of Allied Health Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana; Tel: 0247685454; E-mail: kwamsare@hotmail.com

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The data was validated and analyzed using Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corporation) and GraphPad Prism 9.0.2 software. The bacteria isolates were classified based on the median antibiotic inhibition zones into resistant type 0 (resistant-0, no antibiotic susceptibility), resistant-8, resistant-9, resistant-10, resistant-11 and resistant-12 (the predominant median antibiotic inhibition zones were 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 mm, respectively for most of the antibiotic tested). A network of interactions between bacteria isolates and resistant types and the pattern of interaction of *K. pneumonia* (in the resistant-10 group) and the various antibiotics tested; was performed using Cytoscape version 3.8.2 software. The annual pattern of ESBLs and MDRs among *K. pneumoniae* and *Enterobacter spp* were tested using the Chi-square test with p<0.05 considered as statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Subjects

In all, 230 subjects that visited the University of Cape Coast hospital had *Enterobacter spp.* and/or *K. pneumonia* bacteria isolated from their clinical samples from January 2014 to April 2020. The median (range) age/years of the subjects were 25 (5-73), 27 (1-60), 33 (5-83), 32 (10-85), 26 (10-73), 29

(3-90) and 24 (1-70) for 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The majority of the subjects were females 197/230 (85.65%) and males representing 33/230 (14.35%). Most of the pathogens were isolated from urine samples followed by high vaginal swabs (HVS) samples. Overall, *Enterobacter spp* was the most isolated pathogen 132/230 (57.39%) followed by *K. pneumonia* 98/230 (42.61%) (Table 1).

3.2. Pattern and Network of Interactions between Antibiotic Resistance and Bacteria Isolates from 2014 to 2020

The bacteria isolates from 2014 to 2020 at the University of Cape Coast hospital were classified into resistant types (resistant-0, resistant-8, resistant-9, resistant-10, resistant-11 and resistant-12) based on the most predominant median antibiotic inhibition zone of resistance. The result showed a clustering network of bacteria isolates around resistant type 10 (resistant-10) and resistant type 12 (resistant-12) (Table **S1**). The interactions (edges) of bacteria isolates and resistant types (nodes) were unique for *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, and *Proteus mirabilis* which exhibited two patterns of interactions (resistant-10 and resistant-12), connecting the two resistant types among the bacteria isolates. The other resistant types formed smaller clusters of bacteria isolates (Fig. 1).



Fig. (1). Clustering of the bacteria isolates around a classified antibiotic resistant-types.

-	-	-	-	Years	-	-	-	
Parameters	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Isolates, N	27	24	41	49	26	51	12	
Age, median (range)/yrs	25 (5-73)	27 (1-60)	33 (5-83)	32 (10-85)	26 (10-73)	29 (3-90)	24 (1-70)	
Sex, n (F/M)	27 (24/3)	24 (17/7)	41 (39/2)	49 (41/8)	26 (22/4)	51 (43/8)	12 (11/1)	
Sample Types, n/N (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HVS	4/27 (14.81)	6/24 (25.00)	10/41 (24.39)	17/49 (34.70)	9/26 (34.62)	16/51 (31.37)	7/12 (58.33)	
Urine	22/27 (81.49)	12/24 (50.00)	31/41 (74.61)	32/49 (65.30)	17/26 (65.38)	35/51 (68.63)	5/12 (41.67)	
Sputum/Ear	1/27 (3.70)	3/24 (12.50)	-	-	-	-	-	
Wound	-	3/24 (12.50)	-	-	-	-	-	
Bacteria, n/N (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Enterobacter species	20/27 (74.07)	7/24 (29.17)	19/41 (46.34)	22/49 (44.90)	16/26 (61.38)	39/51 (76.47)	9/12 (75.00)	
Klebsiella pneumonia	7/27 (25.93)	17/24 (70.83)	22/41 (53.66)	27/49 (55.10)	10/26 (38.46)	12/51 (23.53)	3/12 (25.00)	

3.3. *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Enterobacter species* Resistance and Antibiotic Interaction Network

The interaction network between *K. pneumonia* (resistant-10) isolates and antibiotics tested showed that the *K. pneumonia* isolates had developed a broader resistance to multiple antibiotics; fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides,

carbapenems, monobactams, cephalosporins and extendedspectrum penicillins. Few *K. pneumonia* isolates formed lower clusters of one, two, three and four antibiotic types (Fig. 2). The interactive network patterns of *Enterobacter spp* (resistant-12) and the various antibiotics were different from the interaction patterns for *K. pneumonia* (Fig. 3).



Fig. (2). An interactive networks and patterns of antibiotics inhibition zones by resistant K. pneumonia isolates.



Fig. (3). An interactive networks and patterns of antibiotics inhibition zones by resistant *Enterobacter species* isolates.

3.4. Multiple Drug Resistance (MDR) and Extended Drug Resistance (XDR) Patterns among *Enterobacter Species* and *Klebsiella Pneumonia Bacteria Isolates*

The pattern of XDR resistance among the *K. pneumonia* isolates is constantly increasing from 2/7 (28.57%) in 2014 to 9/12 (75.00%) in 2019 and 2/3 (66.67%) in 2020. The MDR resistance among *K. pneumonia*, on the other hand, has remained high from 2014 to 2018, with the highest MDR resistance of 3/4 (75.00%) observed in 2018. In 2019 and 2020, *K. pneumonia* exhibited high XDR resistance compared to MDR resistance. However, the chi-square analysis did not show any significant differences in the emergence of *K*.

pneumonia resistance to MDR and XDR. In the case of *Enterobacter spp* (resistant-12), MDR resistance was very high 19/20 (95.00%) compared to 1/20 (5.00%) of the XDR resistance isolates in 2014. However, from 2015 to 2020, most *Enterobacter spp* exhibited resistance to XDR compared to MDR. Chi-square analysis showed a highly significant difference $\chi 2 = 11.87$, p=0.0006 between MDR and XDR *Enterobacter spp* isolates in 2014. Although more of the *Enterobacter spp* isolates were resistant to XDR, there was no significant difference between MDR and XDR except in 2019, where XDR resistance *Enterobacter spp* isolates were significantly different from MDR resistance *Enterobacter spp* isolates were significantly different from MDR resistance *Enterobacter spp* isolates $\chi 2 = 7.789$, p=0.0053 (Table 2).

Table 2. Trends of MDR and XDR prevalence among Klebsiella pneumonia and Enterobacter species isolates.

-	Klebsie	<i>lla pneumonia</i> n/N	(%)	-	Entero	-		
Year	MDR	XDR	χ2	р	MDR	XDR	χ2	р
2014	5/7 (71.43)	2/7 (28.57)	0.875	0.3496	19/20 (95.00)	1/20 (5.00)	11.87	0.0006
2015	10/17 (58.82)	7/17 (41.18)	0.354	0.5518	3/7 (42.86)	4/7 (57.14)	0.095	0.7574
2016	12/22 (54.55)	10/22 (45.45)	0.121	0.7276	9/19 (47.37)	10/19 (52.63)	0.035	0.8514
2017	15/27 (55.56)	12/27 (44.44)	0.222	0.6371	12/22 (54.55)	10/22 (45.45)	0.121	0.7276
2018	3/4 (75.00)	1/4 (25.00)	0.685	0.4076	6/9 (66.67)	3/9 (33.33)	0.675	0.4113
2019	3/12 (25.00)	9/12 (75.00)	2.057	0.1515	9/39 (23.08)	30/39 (76.92)	7.789	0.0053
2020	1/3 (33.33)	2/3 (66.67)	0.225	0.6353	3/9 (33.33)	6/9 (66.67)	0.675	0.4113

-	-	-	-	-		Antibio	tic Resistand	ce n (%)		-	-	-	-	-
-	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018	2	019	2020	-
Antibiotics	Klebsiella pneumonia (n=7)	Enterobacter sp (n=20)	Klebsiella pneumonia (n=17)	Enterobacter sp (n=7)	Klebsiella pneumonia (n=22)	Enterobacter sp (n=19)	Klebsiella pneumonia (n=27)	Enterobacter sp (n=22)	Klebsiella pneumonia (n=4)\$	Enterobacter sp (n=9)*	Klebsiella pneumonia (n=12)	Enterobacter sp (n=39)	Klebsiella pneumonia (n=3)	Enterobacter sp (n=9)
Ceftriaxone	1 (14.29)	-	3 (17.65)	-	-	3 (15.79)	6 (22.22)	3 (13.64)	1 (25.00)	1 (11.11)	1 (8.33)	11 (28.21)	1 (33.33)	5 (55.56)
Levofloxacin	3 (42.6)	3 (15.00)	-	-	3 (13.64)	4 (21.05)	7 (25.93)	3 (13.64)	-	-	1 (8.33)	9 (23.08)	-	-
Ceftazidime	2 (28.57)	-	4 (23.53)	3 (42.6)	2 (9.09)	2 (10.53)	6 (22.22)	3 (13.64)	-	1 (11.11)	4 (33.33)	14 (35.90)	1 (33.33)	5 (55.56)
Ciprofloxacin	2 (28.57)	7 (35.00)	1 (5.88)	1 (14.29)	3 (13.64)	3(15.79)	2 (7.41)	-	-	1 (11.11)	2 (16.67)	18 (46.15)	-	5 (55.56)
Gentamicin	1 (14.29)	8 (40.00)	5 (29.41)	1 (14.29)	6 (27.27)	6 (31.58)	9 (33.33)	13 (59.09)	-	-	6 (50.00)	9 (23.08)	-	-
Cefuroxime	1 (14.26)	-	5 (29.41)	-	5 (22.73)	3 (15.79)	7 (25.93)	3 (13.64)	-	2 (22.22)	-	13 (33.33)	2 (66,67)	4 (44.44)
Chloramphenicol	4 (57.14)	1 (5.00)	5 (29.41)	4 (57.14)	1 (4.55)	3 (15.79)	7 (25.93)	5 (22.73)	-	1 (11.11)	1 (8.33)	5 (12.82)	-	1 (11.11)
Ampicillin	1 (14.29)	-	8 (47.06)	4 (57.14)	7 (31.82)	2 (10.53)	14 (51.85)	7 (31.82)	-	3 (33.33)	4 (33.33)	17 (43.59)	2 (66,67)	5 (55.56)
Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole	2 (28.57)	7 (35.00)	8 (47.06)	3 (42.6)	6 (27.27)	4 (21.05)	13 (48.15)	7 (31.82)		3 (33.33)	3 (25.00)	10 (25.64)		3 (33.33)
Nitrofurantoin	1 (14.29)	9 (45.00)	1 (5.88)	1 (14.29)	3 (13.64)	2 (10.53)	9 (33.33)	8 (36.36)	2 (50.00)	2 (22.22)	3 (25.00)	5 (12.82)	-	2 (22.22)
Amoxicillin/ Clavulanic Acid	1 (14.29)					2 (10.53)			2 (50.00)	2 (22.22)				1 (11.11)
Piperacillin/ Tazobactam	1 (14.29)				13 (59.09)	11 (57.89)	6 (22.22)	7 (31.82)	1 (25.00)	5 (55.56)	3 (25.00)	10 (25.64)		1 (11.11)
Cefuroxime	1 (14.29)	-	1 (5.88)	-	6 (27.27)	4 (21.05)	8 (29.63)	8 (36.36)	1 (25.00)	1 (11.11)	5 (41.67)	13 (33.33)	1 (33.33)	2 (22.22)
Norfloxacin	1 (14.29)	2 (10.00)	1 (5.88)	1 (14.29)	4 (18.18)	4 (21.05)	4 (14.81)	6 (27.27)	-	-	2 (16.67)	12 (30.77)	-	-
Tetracycline	3 (42.6)	16 (80.00)	9 (52.94)	6 (85.71)	18 (81.82)	15 (78.95)	19 (70.37)	15 (68.18)	-	7 (77.78)	4 (33.33)	26 (66.67)	1 (33.33)	3 (33.33)
Nalidixic Acid	1 (14.29)	11 (55.00)	-	1 (14.29)	11 (50.00)	10 (52.63)	5 (18.52)	8 (36.36)	-	1 (11.11)	4 (33.33)	13 (33.33)	1 (33.33)	-
Augmentin	1 (14.29)	11 (55.00)	4 (23.53)	3 (42.6)	14 (63.64)	11 (57.89)	10 (37.04)	12 (54.55)	3 (75.00)	4 (44.44)	6 (50.00)	16 (41.03)	-	2 (22.22)
Gemifloxacin	1 (14.29)	1 (5.00)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 (11.11)	-	-	-	1 (11.11)
Ampicillin-sulbactam	1 (14.29)	7 (35.00)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ceftazidime	-	1 (5.00)	3 (17.65)	1 (14.29)	8 (36.36)	8 (42.11)	6 (22.22)	7 (31.82)	1 (25.00)	2 (22.22)	5 (41.67)	16 (41.03)	1 (33.33)	1 (11.11)
Meropenem	-	-	4 (23.53)	3 (42.6)	5 (22.73)	5 (26.32)	8 (29.63)	4 (18.18)	-	2 (22.22)	3 (25.00)	11 (28.21)	1 (33.33)	4 (44.44)
Vancomycin	-	-	5 (29.41)	2 (28.57)	7 (31.82)	4 (21.05)	9 (33.33)	3 (13.64)	-	3 (33.33)	2 (16.67)	8 (20.51)	1 (33.33)	1 (11.11)
N-acetylcysteine	-	-	-	-	-	1 (5.26)	-	-	-	1 (11.11)	-	-	-	-
Cefdinir	-	-	-	-	-	1 (5.26)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cephalothin	-	-	-	-	-	3 (15.79)	-	3 (13.64)	-	1 (11.11)	-	3 (7.69)	-	-
Penicillin	-	-	-	1 (14.29)	1(4.55)	-	1 (3.70)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Erythromycin	-	-	-	1 (14.29)	1 (4.55)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ofloxacin	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 (3.70)	2 (9.09)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amikacin	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 (3.70)	-	-	-	-	1 (2.56)	-	-

Table 3. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance among Klebsiella pneumonia and Enterobacter species isolated from 2014 and
2020.

Note: \$ Antibiotic susceptibility test carried on 4 out of 10 *Klebsiella pneumonia* isolates. * Antibiotic susceptibility test carried on 9 out of 16 *Enterobacter species* isolates.

3.5. Antibiotic Resistance Pattern among *Enterobacter* species and Klebsiella pneumonia Isolates

K. pneumonia isolates were highly resistant to levofoxacin 3/7 (42.6%), chloramphenicol 4/7 (57.14%), and tetracycline 3/7 (42.6%) in 2014. The K. pneumonia isolates were observed to have developed resistance to many of the commonly used antibiotics in the market from 2015 to 2017 and 2019. K. pneumonia resistance to tetracycline 9/17 (52.94%) in 2015; piperacillin/tazobactam 13/22 (59.09%), tetracycline 18/22 (81.82%), nalidixic acid 11/22 (50.00%), augmentin 14/22 (63.64%) 2016; ampicillin in 14/27(51.85%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole 13/27 (48.15%), tetracycline 19/27 (70.37%) in 2017; nitrofurantoin 2/4 (50.00%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 2/4 (50.00%) and augmentin 3/4 (75.00%) in 2018; gentamycin 6/12 (50.00%), and augmentin 6/12 (50.00%) in 2019 and cefuroxime 2/3 (66.67%) and ampicillin 2/3 (66.67%) were very high across the study periods (Table 3).

On the other hand, *Enterobacter spp* were highly resistant to tetracycline 16/20 (80.00%), nalidixic acid 11/20 (55.00%), and augmentin 11/20 (55.00%) in 2014; chloramphenicol 4/7 (57.14%), ampicillin 4/7 (57.14%), and tetracycline 6/7 (85.71%) in 2015; piperacillin/tazobactam 11/19 (57.89%), tetracycline 15/19 (78.95%), nalidixic acid 10/19 (52.63%), and augmentin 11/19 (57.89%) in 2016; gentamycin 13/22 (59.09%), and tetracycline 15/22 (68.18%) in 2017;

piperacillin/tazobactam 5/9 (55.56%), and tetracycline 7/9 (77.78%) in 2018; tetracycline 26/39 (66.67%) in 2019 and ceftriaxone 5/9 (55.56%), levofloxacin 5/9 (55.56%), ceftazidime 5/9 (55.56%), gentamycin 5/9 (55.56%), and ampicillin 5/9 (55.56%), in 2020 (Table **3**).

4. DISCUSSION

Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia have developed resistance to almost all known antibiotics [37]. There is a report of increasing high resistance to third and fourthgeneration cephalosporins [38]. Although ESBL-resistant Enterobacter spp such as E. cloacae and E. aerogenes are generally susceptible to carbapenems, there is growing resistance to most of them except Meropenem and Imipenem [39 - 41]. Also, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacter (CRE) and carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae infections are susceptible polymyxins, tigecycline, meropenem, fosfomycin, to gentamicin, ceftazidime/avibactam and other co-carbapenems antibiotic agents [42, 43]. Antibiotic-resistant Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia are reducing treatment options leading to "difficult-to-treat" high morbidity and mortality cases [44]. The study reports an eight-year antibiotic resistance trend among the Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia isolates from the University of Cape Coast hospital.

K. pneumonia formed a network with a group of bacteria isolates that exhibit phenotypically similar antibiotic resistance

inhibition patterns (belonging to resistant type 10; median antibiotic inhibition zone is 10), whereas Enterobacter spp formed a clade with other bacteria isolates with resistant type 12. Thus, K. pneumonia and Enterobacter spp phenotypically exhibit differences in antibiotic resistance characteristics [45]. Interestingly, S. saprophyticus and P. mirabilis exhibited characteristics of both resistant-10 and resistant-12. However, resistant type 10 and type 12 are not distinctive in terms of their resistant categorization into MDR or XDR. Both resistant types were either MDR or XDR species. Although both K. pneumonia and Enterobacter spp have developed resistance to several antibiotics, the underlying mechanisms may be multifaceted and different [45]. The interactive network of K. pneumonia or Enterobacter spp and the various antibiotics showed that most antibiotics have similar median and range in the zone of inhibitions.

The pattern of MDR and XDR among the isolates showed the rapid development of XDR. MDR-resistant *K. pneumonia* was consistently high from 2014 to 2018 while XDR resistance became high in 2019. However, XDR-resistant *Enterobacter spp* had remained high compared to MDR resistance except in 2014. The high prevalence of XDR *K. pneumonia* and *Enterobacter spp* pose a tremendous challenge to treatment options and may require prolonged antibiotic treatment [42, 46]. XDR-resistant *Enterobacter spp* and *K. pneumonia* infections should be of public health significance as these resistant pathogens are not only resistant to multiple antibiotic agents but likely all clinically proven antibiotics [47, 48].

MDR and XDR *K. pneumonia* and *Enterobacter spp* are a threat to the public health system in Ghana as they are significant risk factors for severe illness with high mortality [49]. The recent outbreak of *K. pneumonia* producing NDM-1 and OXA-48 in Germany with increased virulence, high transmission and antibiotic resistance indicates global health importance that requires constant surveillance and prompt implementation of enhanced control measures to prevent the spread [50 - 52].

The study showed that Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia isolates were highly susceptible to fluoroquinolones (levofloxacin, Norfloxacin, Gemifloxacin and ofloxacin), betalactams (ampicillin-sulbactam and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid), aminoglycoside (Amikacin), macrolide (erythromycin), penicillin, N-acetylcysteine, and cephalosporin (cefdinir) antibiotics. Although ampicillin-sulbactam resistance has been recorded in beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae such as E. coli, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid remains an effective therapy against such pathogens [53, 54]. Interestingly, these antibiotics were largely effective against the MDR and XDRresistant K. pneumonia and Enterobacter spp isolates from the University of Cape Coast hospital. Though the isolated MDR and XDR Enterobacter spp and K. pneumonia are still susceptible to the above antibiotics, resistance against these antibiotics can rapidly develop [55 - 57].

Constant risk assessment of MDR and XDR carbapenemresistant *Enterobacteriaceae* and updates on the prevalence of antibiotic resistance patterns are necessary preventive and control measures [56, 58]. Again, meropenem and gentamicin which have shown to be effective against XDR carbapenemresistant *Enterobacteriaceae* were highly resisted among the isolated pathogens in this study. The limited treatment options for antibiotic-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* necessitated the WHO to declare *Enterobacteriaceae* a critical and priority pathogen for research, discovery and development of new antibiotics [3, 46, 57]. The state of antibiotic-resistant *Enterobacter spp* and *K. pneumonia* requires a nationwide reassessment of antibiotic treatment options.

Two large clusters of bacteria networks of interaction around resistant-10 and resistant-12 (nodes). The resistant types are classified based on the frequencies of median antibiotic inhibition zones; Resistant-0 (no antibiotics were tested), Resistant-8 (most antibiotics had inhibition zone of 8 mm), Resistant-9 (most antibiotics had inhibition zone of 9 mm), Resistant-10 (most antibiotics had inhibition zone of 10 mm), Resistant-11 (most antibiotics had inhibition zone of 11 mm) and Resistant-12 (most antibiotics had inhibition zone of 12 mm).

The length of each node connecting to *K. pneumonia* represents the median (range) antibiotic inhibition zones for each tested antibiotic.

The length of each node connecting to *Enterobacter spp* represents the median (range) antibiotic inhibition zones for each tested antibiotic.

Several factors may have affected the female-to-male ratio among the data analyzed; 1. There were more females attending hospitals compared to males, however, the reason is not well understood, but it is believed females take a keen interest in their health compared to males as it is a general trend with most of the healthcare data, 2. The nature of samples for microbiological data was (36.5% were vaginal samples which is a bias toward the female gender, and 63.5% were urine samples 17.5% of which were from males.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study reports the trend of antibiotic resistance among *Enterobacter spp* and *K. pneumonia* isolates from the University of Cape Coast hospital from 2014 to 2020. The results show that although there is high MDR and XDR-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*, the pathogens are still susceptible to a couple of antibiotics tested. The early detection, constant monitoring and control practices and policies that prevent misuse or overuse of these antibiotics are required to slow down the rapid development of resistant *Enterobacter species* and *Klebsiella pneumonia* in Cape Coast.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

MDR	=	Multidrug Resistant
ESBL	=	Extended-spectrum beta-lactase
XDR	=	Extensively Drug Resistant

CRE = Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals and humans were used for studies that are the basis of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material is available on the Publisher's website.

REFERENCES

- Singh AK, Das S, Kumar S, *et al.* Distribution of antibiotic-resistant *enterobacteriaceae* pathogens in potable spring water of eastern indian himalayas: emphasis on virulence gene and antibiotic resistance genes in *Escherichia coli*. Front Microbiol 2020; 11: 581072. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.581072] [PMID: 33224119]
- [2] World Health Organization (WHO). Antimicrobial resistance 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resist ance
- [3] Teklu DS, Negeri AA, Legese MH, Bedada TL, Woldemariam HK, Tullu KD. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production and multidrug resistance among *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2019; 8(1): 39. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0488-4] [PMID: 30815254]
- Peirano G, Pitout JDD. Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: update on molecular epidemiology and treatment options. Drugs 2019; 79(14): 1529-41.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40265-019-01180-3] [PMID: 31407238]
- [5] Eibach D, Campos CB, Krumkamp R, et al. Extended spectrum betalactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae causing bloodstream infections in rural Ghana, 2007–2012. Int J Med Microbiol 2016; 306(4): 249-54.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2016.05.006] [PMID: 27222489]
 [6] Tang HJ, Hsieh CF, Chang PC, et al. Clinical significance of community-and healthcare-acquired carbapenem-resistant
- Enterobacteriaceae isolates. PLoS One 2016; 11(3): e0151897. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151897] [PMID: 26999356]
- [7] Esposito S, De Simone G. Update on the main MDR pathogens: prevalence and treatment options. Infez Med 2017; 25(4): 301-10.
 [PMID: 29286008]
- [8] Klein RD, Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: microbial pathogenesis, host-pathogen interactions and new treatment strategies. Nat Rev Microbiol 2020; 18(4): 211-26. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0324-0] [PMID: 32071440]
- [9] Tajbakhsh E, Tajbakhsh S, Khamesipour F. Isolation and molecular detection of Gram negative bacteria causing urinary tract infection in patients referred to Shahrekord hospitals, Iran. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2015; 17(5): e24779. http://th.doi.org/10.5012/journi.17(5)2015.247701 [DMUD: 26082652]

[http://dx.doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.17(5)2015.24779] [PMID: 26082853]

- [10] Sadek M, Halim M, Fouda AM. Detection of blaTEM, blaSHV, and blaCTX-M genes among the Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamases (ESβLs) producing Enterobacteriaceae isolated from hospital-acquired infections and community in Egypt. Al-Azhar International Medical Journal 2021; 2(3): 7-14.
- [11] Saravanan M, Ramachandran B, Barabadi H. The prevalence and drug resistance pattern of extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) producing Enterobacteriaceae in Africa. Microb Pathog 2018; 114: 180-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2017.11.061] [PMID: 29196174]
- [12] Zeynudin A, Pritsch M, Schubert S, et al. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of CTX-M type extended-spectrum β-lactamases among clinical isolates of gram-negative bacilli in Jimma, Ethiopia.
- BMC Infect Dis 2018; 18(1): 524. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12879-018-3436-7] [PMID: 30342476]
- [13] Ayisi LA, Adu-Sarkodie Y. Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) production among *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species in Kumasi, Ghana. J Nat Sci Res 2015; 5: 81-6.
- [14] Ben Y, Fu C, Hu M, Liu L, Wong MH, Zheng C. Human health risk assessment of antibiotic resistance associated with antibiotic residues in the environment: A review. Environ Res 2019; 169: 483-93. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.11.040] [PMID: 30530088]
- Founou RC, Founou LL, Essack SY. Clinical and economic impact of antibiotic resistance in developing countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017; 12(12): e0189621.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189621] [PMID: 29267306]
- [16] Gundran RS, Cardenio PA, Villanueva MA, et al. Prevalence and distribution of blaCTX-M, blaSHV, blaTEM genes in extendedspectrum β- lactamase- producing *E. coli* isolates from broiler farms in the Philippines. BMC Vet Res 2019; 15(1): 227. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12917-019-1975-9] [PMID: 30606179]
- [17] Ejaz H, Younas S, Abosalif KOA, *et al.* Molecular analysis of blaSHV, blaTEM, and blaCTX-M in extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae recovered from fecal specimens of animals. PLoS One 2021; 16(1): e0245126.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245126] [PMID: 33412564]
 [18] San Millan A. Evolution of plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance in the clinical context. Trends Microbiol 2018; 26(12): 978-85.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.06.007] [PMID: 30049587]
 [19] Lerminiaux NA, Cameron ADS. Horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in clinical environments. Can J Microbiol 2019; 65(1): 34-44.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2018-0275] [PMID: 30248271]

- [20] Tängdén T, Giske CG. Global dissemination of extensively drugresistant carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: clinical perspectives on detection, treatment and infection control. J Intern Med 2015; 277(5): 501-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/joim.12342] [PMID: 25556628]
- [21] Varghese A, George S, Gopalakrishnan R, Mathew A. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from cases of urinary tract infection in a tertiary care setup. J Evol Med Dent Sci 2016; 5(29): 1470-4.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.14260/jemds/2016/346]
- [22] Pitout JDD, Laupland KB. Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern. Lancet Infect Dis 2008; 8(3): 159-66. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(08)70041-0] [PMID: 18291338]
- [23] Singh S, Charani E, Devi S, et al. A road-map for addressing antimicrobial resistance in low- and middle-income countries: lessons learnt from the public private participation and co-designed antimicrobial stewardship programme in the State of Kerala, India. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2021; 10(1): 32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13756-020-00873-9] [PMID: 33573697]
- [24] Ashley EA, Recht J, Chua A, et al. An inventory of supranational antimicrobial resistance surveillance networks involving low- and middle-income countries since 2000. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018; 73(7): 1737-49.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky026] [PMID: 29514279]

[25] Iskandar K, Molinier L, Hallit S, *et al.* Drivers of antibiotic resistance transmission in low-and middle-income countries from a "one health" perspective—a review. Antibiotics (Basel) 2020; 9(7): 372. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9070372] [PMID: 32630353]

[26] Van Boeckel TP, Pires J, Silvester R, et al. Global trends in antimicrobial resistance in animals in low- and middle-income countries. Science 2019; 365(6459): eaaw1944. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw1944] [PMID: 31604207]

Network of Interaction among Enterobacter Species and Klebsiella Pneumonia

- [27] Gholizadeh P, Maftoon H, Aghazadeh M, Asgharzadeh M, Kafil HS. Current opinions in the infection control of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae species and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Rev Med Microbiol 2017; 28(3): 97-103. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MRM.00000000000107]
- [28] Alizadeh N, Rezae MA, Kafil HS, et al. Detection of carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae by chromogenic screening media. J Microbiol Methods 2018; 153: 40-4.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2018.09.001] [PMID: 30189222]
 Potter RF, D'Souza AW, Dantas G. The rapid spread of carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae. Drug Resist Updat 2016; 29: 30-46.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2016.09.002] [PMID: 27912842]
 [30] Durante-Mangoni E, Andini R, Zampino R. Management of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 2019; 25(8): 943-50.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.04.013] [PMID: 31004767]
 [31] Sheu CC, Chang YT, Lin SY, Chen YH, Hsueh PR. Infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: an update on therapeutic options. Front Microbiol 2019; 10: 80.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00080] [PMID: 30761114]
 [32] Obeng-Nkrumah N, Twum-Danso K, Krogfelt KA, Newman MJ. High levels of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in a major teaching hospital in Ghana: the need for regular monitoring and evaluation of antibiotic resistance. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2013; 89(5): 960-4.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.12-0642] [PMID: 24043693]
- [33] Quansah E, Amoah Barnie P, Omane Acheampong D, *et al.* Geographical distribution of β -lactam resistance among Klebsiella spp. from selected health facilities in Ghana. Trop Med Infect Dis 2019; 4(3): 117.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed4030117] [PMID: 31484298]
 [34] Mezzatesta ML, Gona F, Stefani S. *Enterobacter cloacae* complex: clinical impact and emerging antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol 2012; 7(7): 887-902.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fmb.12.61] [PMID: 22827309]
- [35] Anning AS, Dugbatey AA, Kwakye-Nuako G, Asare KK. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of enterobacteriaceae isolated from raw meat and Ghanaian coin currencies at Cape Coast metropolis, Ghana: the public health implication. Open Microbiol J 2019; 13(1): 138-45.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874285801913010138]
 [36] Morehead MS, Scarbrough C. Emergence of global antibiotic resistance. Prim Care 2018; 45(3): 467-84.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pop.2018.05.006] [PMID: 30115335]

- [37] Kim D, Ahn JY, Lee CH, et al. Increasing resistance to extendedspectrum cephalosporins, fluoroquinolone, and carbapenem in gramnegative bacilli and the emergence of carbapenem non-susceptibility in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: Analysis of Korean Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (KARMS) data from 2013 to 2015. Ann Lab Med 2017; 37(3): 231-9.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.3343/alm.2017.37.3.231] [PMID: 28224769]
 [38] Bradford PA, Kazmierczak KM, Biedenbach DJ, Wise MG, Hackel M, Sahm DF. Correlation of β-lactamase production and colistin resistance among Enterobacteriaceae isolates from a global surveillance program. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016; 60(3): 1385-92.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01870-15] [PMID: 26666920]

- [39] Majewski P, Wieczorek P, Ojdana D, et al. Altered outer membrane transcriptome balance with AmpC overexpression in carbapenemresistant Enterobacter cloacae. Front Microbiol 2016; 7: 2054. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.02054] [PMID: 28066375]
- [40] Guillard T, Cholley P, Limelette A, et al. Fluoroquinolone Resistance Mechanisms and population structure of *Enterobacter cloacae* nonsusceptible to Ertapenem in North-Eastern France. Front Microbiol 2015; 6: 1186.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01186] [PMID: 26557115]
 [41] Shields RK, McCreary EK, Marini RV, *et al.* Early experience with meropenem-vaborbactam for treatment of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71(3): 667-71. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz1131] [PMID: 31738396]
- [42] Pascale R, Giannella M, Bartoletti M, Viale P, Pea F. Use of meropenem in treating carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2019; 17(10): 819-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2019.1673731] [PMID: 31559876]

- [43] Khan HA, Ahmad A, Mehboob R. Nosocomial infections and their control strategies. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2015; 5(7): 509-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apitb.2015.05.001]
- [44] Theuretzbacher U, Carrara E, Conti M, Tacconelli E. Role of new antibiotics for KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Antimicrob Chemother 2021; 76(Suppl. 1): i47-54.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa497] [PMID: 33534882]
- [45] Asare KK, Amoah S, Coomson CA Jr, et al. Antibiotic-resistant pathogenic bacterial isolates from patients attending the outpatient department of university of Cape Coast hospital, Ghana: A retrospective study between 2013–2015. PLOS Global Public Health 2022; 2(5): e0000417. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0000417]
- [46] Pogue JM, Kaye KS, Cohen DA, Marchaim D. Appropriate antimicrobial therapy in the era of multidrug-resistant human pathogens. Clin Microbiol Infect 2015; 21(4): 302-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2014.12.025] [PMID: 25743999]
- [47] Bhatt P, Tandel K, Shete V, Rathi KR. Burden of extensively drugresistant and pandrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria at a tertiarycare centre. New Microbes New Infect 2015; 8: 166-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2015.01.003] [PMID: 27257498]
- [48] Santoro A, Franceschini E, Meschiari M, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors associated with mortality in consecutive patients with bacterial bloodstream infection: impact of MDR and XDR bacteria. In: Open forum infectious diseases 2020 Nov (Vol 7, No 11, p ofaa461). US: Oxford University Press 2020. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa461]
- [49] Kola A, Piening B, Pape UF, et al. An outbreak of carbapenemresistant OXA-48 – producing Klebsiella pneumonia associated to duodenoscopy. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2015; 4(1): 8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13756-015-0049-4] [PMID: 25815166]
- [50] Haller S, Kramer R, Becker K, et al. Extensively drug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae ST307 outbreak, north-eastern Germany, June to October 2019. Euro Surveill 2019; 24(50): 1900734. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.50.1900734] [PMID: 31847948]
- [51] Heiden SE, Hübner NO, Bohnert JA, et al. A Klebsiella pneumoniae ST307 outbreak clone from Germany demonstrates features of extensive drug resistance, hypermucoviscosity, and enhanced iron acquisition. Genome Med 2020; 12(1): 113. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00814-6] [PMID: 33298160]
- [52] Tohamy S, Aboshanab K, El-Mahallawy H, El-Ansary MR, Afffi S. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens isolated from febrile neutropenic cancer patients with bloodstream infections in Egypt and new synergistic antibiotic combinations. Infect Drug Resist 2018; 11: 791-803.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S163293] [PMID: 29872329]

- [53] Ansari S, Nepal HP, Gautam R, et al. Community acquired multi-drug resistant clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* in a tertiary care center of Nepal. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2015; 4(1): 15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13756-015-0059-2] [PMID: 25937923]
- [54] Karaiskos I, Giamarellou H. Multidrug-resistant and extensively drugresistant Gram-negative pathogens: current and emerging therapeutic approaches. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2014; 15(10): 1351-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/14656566.2014.914172] [PMID: 24766095]
- [55] Gashaw M, Berhane M, Bekele S, et al. Emergence of high drug resistant bacterial isolates from patients with health care associated infections at Jimma University medical center: a cross sectional study. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2018; 7(1): 138. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-0431-0] [PMID: 30479751]
- [56] Karaiskos I, Lagou S, Pontikis K, Rapti V, Poulakou G. The "old" and the "new" antibiotics for MDR gram-negative pathogens: for whom, when, and how. Front Public Health 2019; 7: 151. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00151] [PMID: 31245348]
- [57] Morris S, Cerceo E. Trends, epidemiology, and management of multidrug resistant gram-negative bacterial infections in the hospitalized setting. Antibiotics 2020; 9(4): 196.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9040196] [PMID: 32326058]
 [58] Shrivastava S, Shrivastava P, Ramasamy J. World health organization releases global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. J Med Soc 2018; 32(1): 76.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jms.jms_25_17]

© 2023 Asare et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.